

- 5 -

09/399.109

REMARKS

During the prosecution of the present application, I repeatedly faced a dilemma: either to answer the Examiner's objections claiming what I believe are worthy claims, or to give up part of the claims in order to get a patent issued on the allowable claims. Again, I choose the latter option.

The new claims include only those allowed, with the required amendments:

New claim 71 derives from the allowable claim 61, with the acronym AFC spelled out. No new matter is included, as the acronym is detailed in the original application.

New claim 72 derives from claim 64, with the acronym AFC spelled out. Also, the term "the synchronization signals" has been corrected to "synchronization signals" to answer the antecedent objection.

New claim 73 derives from claim 65.

New claim 74 derives from claim 66.


New claim 75 derives from claim 69.

The term "the synchronization signals" has been corrected to "synchronization signals" to answer the antecedent objection.

New claim 76 derives from claim 70.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


Dr Zion Hadad, Applicant
48 Haalmogim Street
Rishon Lezion
Israel

zionh@runcom.co.il

BEST AVAILABLE COPY